

Inte	rview	Sum	marv
IIILG	<i>1 V I G V Y</i>	Juiii	iiiai y

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/541,426 Kim et al.

Examiner

Art Unit

	Dung Nguyen	2871	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):		
(1) Dung Nguyen	(3) Younggyu Kim /Sm	7	
(2) Song K. Jung	(4)		
Date of Interview Jun 18, 2003	_		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	t 2) 🛛 applicant's representative	•]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief descripti	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: all clean Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached substance of Interview including description of the generally other comments: Applicant's representative has provided the propose ames show the differences between the new invention and the and no agreement has been reached with respect to any	ral nature of what was agreed to it andment for claims 1 and 29 and a a cited prior art (Koma). All of the	f an agreement Iso provided the	eir argument to
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no			
available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seg- Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Nalready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FI SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Rec	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LA MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	ST OFFICE ACT the last Office FILE A STATEM	TION MUST action has ENT OF THE
	1	Λ PAT	UNG NGUYEN ENT EXAMINER RT UNIT 2871

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.